IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 17313 of 2004

Between:

R. Srinivas, S/o. Sri Ramulu,
R/o. Polumalla Village, Nutankal Mandal, Nalgonda District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chairman/The Managing Director Central Power Distribution Company

of A.P. Limited, Vidyuth Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Superintending Engineer (Operation) A P Central Power Distribution

Company Limited, Nalgonda.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or direction especially one in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to declare the results of the petitioner while considering the petitioner's service certificates for the interviews conducted on 12-5-2001 by declaring the action of the respondents in not declaring the results of the petitioner for the interviews conducted on 12-5-2001 even after a lapse of 3 years as illegal, improper and arbitrary.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SMT.A.PANKAJA

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

Petitioner claims that he worked as a Contract Labour under the respondents and in pursuance of a notification, dated 11.03.2001, inviting applications from eligible candidates for the recruitment of LDC and Sub-Engineers, he made an application to the post of LDC along with all the necessary certificates. On 30.04.2001, the respondent-Board issued a paper notification with the list of selected candidates for the interview directing them to appear before the second respondent with original certificates on 12.05.2001. Since the name of the petitioner is also mentioned in the list of candidates who are eligible for interview at Serial No.51, he appeared for the interview on 12.05.2001.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent-Board declared the results of a few candidates and no intimation has been given to others. The petitioner contended that as per the Memo dated 22.06.2001, the list of selected candidates may be published in newspapers and appointment orders have to be issued simultaneously. Speaking orders have to be issued to the unselected candidates duly intimating the reasons for rejecting their appointment. The petitioner has not received any intimation, therefore he approached this Court through this writ petition seeking a declaration that the action of the respondents in not declaring his result for the last three years, is illegal, improper and arbitrary.

In the light of the circumstances explained by the petitioner and on account of lapse of time, the petitioner is directed to make a representation to the second respondent for announcement of his result as per Para-6 of the Memo dated 22.06.2001 and the second respondent shall after receipt of the representation of the petitioner intimate the result as per the Memo dated 22.06.2001 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

28.09.2004

Note: Furnish CC in three days

(b/o)

VGB

To

1 The Chairman/Managing Director Central Power Distribution Company

of A.P. Limited, Vidyuth Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Superintending Engineer (Operation) A P Central Power Distribution

Company Limited, Nalgonda District.

3 2CD copies